## RESOLUTION NO: 95-141

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES
GRANTING NEGATIVE DECLARATION STATUS FOR
ZONING CODE AMENDMENT 95-007
(PLANNED DEVELOPMENT; CITY INITIATED)

WHEREAS, the City has initiated a proposed Amendment to the Zoning Code, specifically Section 21.16A (Planned Development), and

WHEREAS, a Background Information Report and Initial Study were prepared for this project (Attached as Exhibits A and B), and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was conducted by the Planning Commission on October 23, 1995 and by the City Council on November 7, 1995 to consider the initial study prepared for this application, and to accept public testimony regarding this proposed environmental determination on the Zoning Code Amendment, and

WHEREAS, the proposed code amendment would provide additional flexibility in terms of the width and location of sidewalks and/or parkways if the required findings can be made by the Planning Commission or, upon appeal, the City Council, and

WHEREAS, the degree of changes that would be facilitated by this proposed code amendment would be insignificant in terms of potential impacts on the environment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that based on the City's independent judgment, the City Council of the City of El Paso De Robles does hereby grant a Negative Declaration status for Zoning Code Amendment 95007.

PASSED AND ADOPTED THIS 7th day of November, 1995 by the following roll call vote:

AYES:

Heggarty, Martin, Picanco, and Macklin

NOES:

None

ABSTAIN:

None

ABSENT:

lversen

MAYOR WALTER J. MACKLIN

1(20)

RICHARD J. RAMIREZ, CITY CLERK

g:\users\bob\61\pc\pdord\env.res

## INITIAL STUDY

DATE:		6 October 1995			
FILE #:		Zoning Code Amendment 95-007			
APPLICATION:					
APPI	LICANT	: City Initiated			
1.	PROJE	CT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION: City Wide			
2.	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING: Not Applicable				
3.		TIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: Please see attached Initial y Checklist.			
	If any	SSION OF SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS: y of the items on the Initial Study Checklist are marked "Yes/Maybe", e see the attached Analysis for discussion and recommendations for ation or further environmental study.			
		STENCY OF PROJECT WITH EXISTING GENERAL PLAN, ZONING AND OTHER LAND ONTROLS:			
	_	This project is consistent with the City's General Plan, Zoning Ordinance and other land use controls.			
	xx	This project involves a request to change the General Plan, Zoning Ordinance, and/or other land use controls.			
6.	PERSO	NS PARTICIPATING IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS INITIAL STUDY:			
	Bob	Lata, Community Development Director			
7.	<u>DETER!</u>	MINATION: On the basis of this Initial Study:			
	<u>xx</u>	I find that the proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment, and a Negative Declaration will be prepared.			
	<u> </u>	I find that, although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on the attached Discussion of Environmental Evaluation have been added to the project. A Negative Declaration will be prepared.			
		I find that there is insufficient information to determine whether the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment and that the applicant needs to provide additional information in the form of an expanded initial study.			
	_	I find that the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and recommend that an Environmental Impact Report be prepared.			

g:\users\bob\61\pc\pdord\intstd18.oct

## INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

FILE #s: Zoning Code Amendment 95-007

APPLICATION: Amendment to Planned Development provisions regarding flexibility

APPLICANT: City Initiated

This Initial Study Checklist was completed by reviewing the project application in light of the following:

- a. The City's General Plan, Municipal Code and adopted Standards;
- b. Environmental information and studies maintained by the City;
- c. Consultation, when necessary, with Responsible and Trustee Agencies, as defined by CEQA, and other interested parties;
- d. Observation of the project site in the field.

All items checked "Yes/Maybe" will be discussed in the section entitled "Analysis" attached to this checklist.

If an item is checked "No", the project will either not have a significant effect on the environment, or, any potential significant effects will be mitigated by standard conditions of development required by the City.

<u> Item</u>	Environmental Impact	Yes/Maybe	No_
1.	LAND USE, POPULATION, HOUSING:		
a.	Alteration of present or planned land		
	use in an area		x
b.	Compatibility with existing or planned land		
	uses in an area		x
c.	Alteration of location, distribution, density		
	or population growth rate of an area		X
d.	Affect existing housing or create demand for	,	
	additional housing		X
e.	Airport Land Use Plan		x
2.	CIRCULATION/TRANSPORTATION:		
a.	Traffic generation		x
b.	Traffic access, movement, hazards		x
c.	Pedestrian, bicycle systems	x	
đ.	Parking facilities		x
e.	Emergency vehicle access		x
f.	Air, rail operations		x

Item	Environmental Impact	Yes/Maybe	No
3.	GEOLOGY AND SOILS:		
a.	Unstable earth, changes in geological		
b.	substructures Changes to soil strata (disruption,		
c.	displacement, compaction, etc.) Exposure of people or property to landslides		
d.	and seismic hazards		
4.	SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE WATER:		
a.	Changes to groundwater flows		x
b.	Groundwater quality and quantity		
c.	Streamcourse alteration and siltation		x
d.	Increase in runoff, storm drainage impact		x
e.	Other water-related impacts	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	x
5.	<u>VEGETATION AND ANIMAL LIFE</u> :		
a.	Oak trees		
b.	Other vegetation concerns		
c.	Wildlife habitats		
d.	Other wildlife concerns	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	x
6.	AIR QUALITY:		
a.	Creation of air emissions		1
b	Creation of objectionable odors		
c.	Alteration of air movement patterns		
d.	Other air quality concerns	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	x
7.	PUBLIC SERVICES AND UTILITIES:		
a.	Fire protection		
b.	Police protection		x.
c.	Water service		x.
đ.	Sewer service		
e.	Street maintenance		
f.	Other governmental services		X.
g.			
h.	So. California Gas Co		x.
i.	Sonic Cable TV, Pacific Bell		
j.	Solid waste disposal		x.

Item	Environmental Impact	Yes/Maybe	No_
8.	HEALTH AND SAFETY:		
a. b. c. d. e.	Noise: Creation of or exposure to  Light & Glare: Creation of  Electromagnetic disturbance, radiation  Health hazards: Creation of or exposure to  Fire, Explosion, Chemical spill	••••••	x
9.	AESTHETICS:		
a. b. c.	Visually-sensitive area or corridor	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	x
10.	PARKS, RECREATION, AND OPEN SPACE:		
a. b. c.	Impact on public parks and recreation  Generates need for private recreation  Need to maintain open space		x
11.	CULTURAL, HISTORICAL, AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL:		
a. b.	Historic and/or cultural sites Archaeological sites		
12.	NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENERGY:		
a. b.	Natural resources supply Energy supply		
13.	MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE:		
a.	Potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a wildlife species, cause wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory	••••	x
þ.	Potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals		x
c.	Impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	x
d.	Substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly		

## Analysis of Significant Impacts / Potentially Significant Impacts on the Environment

(Supplement to Initial Study Checklist, Addressing "Yes/Maybe" responses)

Project:

Zoning Code Amendment 95-007

Date:

6 October 1995

Subject and Analysis of Level of Significance / Potential Significance:

1. Land Use, Population, Housing:

none

2. Circulation / Transportation:

would allow modifications to sidewalk and parkway

location and width, if required findings can be made

3. Geology and Soils:

none

4. Surface and Subsurface Water:

none

5. Vegetation and Animal Life:

none

6. Air Quality:

none

7. Public Services and Utilities

none:

8. Health and Safety:

none

9. Aesthetics:

if sidewalk/parkway is substantially reduced, could adversely impact

the aesthetics of streets

10. Parks, Recreation, and Open Space:

none

11. Cultural, Historical and Archaeological:

none

12. Natural Resources and Energy:

none

g:\users\bob\61\pc\pdord\isexpl18.oct